IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Religious Rights Foundation of Pa; a: Pennsylvania non-profit corporation; C.Y.: COMPLAINT and L.Y. individually and as the parents and : natural guardians of F.Y., a minor; B.H. and : K.H. individually and as the parents and : Filed on Behalf of: All Plaintiffs natural guardians of R.H., a minor,

v.

State College Area School District; Board of School Directors of the State College Area School District,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs,

Counsel of Record for Plaintiffs:

Thomas E. Breth PA. ID. No. 66350 : tbreth@dmkcg.com

> Thomas W. King, III PA ID. No. 21580 tking@dmkcg.com

DILLON McCANDLESS KING COULTER & GRAHAM LLP

: 128 West Cunningham Street

Butler, PA 16001

Telephone: (724) 283-2200 : Facsimile: (724) 283-2298

Special Counsel for Thomas More

Society

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Religious Rights Foundation of PA; a : Pennsylvania non-profit corporation; C.Y. : and L.Y., individually and as the parents and : No.: natural guardians of F.Y., a minor; and B.H. : and K.H., individually and as the parents and : natural guardians of R.H., a minor.

:

Plaintiffs,

:

V.

:

State College Area School District; Board of School Directors of the State College Area School District,

:

Defendants.

:

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, Religious Rights Foundation of PA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation; C.Y. and L.Y., individually and as parents and natural guardians of F.Y., a minor; B.H. and K.H., individually and as parents and natural guardians of R.H., a minor, file the within Complaint averring in support thereof as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, Religious Rights Foundation of PA, is a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, organized and existing in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with a mailing address of 3091 Enterprise Drive,

Suite 200, State College, Centre County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (hereinafter referred to as "Religious Rights Foundation").

- 2. The mission of the Religious Rights Foundation and its members is to protect, defend, and promote the religious rights, beliefs, opportunities, and tenants of faith of its members, their families, and the greater faith community within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 3. The Religious Rights Foundation is acting to protect, defend and promote the religious rights of the students who are eligible to attend public school districts within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and to participate in the extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the public school districts, but are denied this generally available benefit due to their enrollment in a parochial school or other religious based educational institution.
- 4. Plaintiffs, C.Y. and L.Y., individually and as parents and natural guardians of F.Y., a minor, are individuals residing in Centre County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (hereinafter referred to respectively as "Parent C.Y. Plaintiffs" and "Student F.Y. Plaintiff").
- 5. Parent C.Y. Plaintiffs and Student F.Y. Plaintiff reside within the State College Area School District. Student F.Y. Plaintiff is a minor who is eligible in all material respects to attend the State College Area School District and to participate in the extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the public school district. Parent

- C.Y. Plaintiffs and Student F.Y. Plaintiff are members of the Religious Rights Foundation. Parent C.Y. Plaintiffs are taxpayers in the State College Area School District and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 6. Student F.Y. Plaintiff attends a parochial school located within the State College Area School District. Parent C.Y. Plaintiffs made the decision to have Student F.Y. Plaintiff attend a parochial school in the furtherance of their religious beliefs. Student F.Y. Plaintiff also made the decision to attend a parochial school in the furtherance of Student F.Y. Plaintiff's religious beliefs.
- 7. Plaintiffs, B.H. and K.H., individually and as parents and natural guardians of R.H., a minor, are individuals residing in Centre County, Pennsylvania (hereinafter referred to respectively as "Parent B.H. Plaintiffs" and "Student R.H. Plaintiffs").
- 8. Parent B.H. Plaintiffs and Student R.H. Plaintiff reside within the State College Area School District. Student R.H. Plaintiff is a minor who is eligible in all material respects to attend the State College Area School District and to participate in the extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the public school district. Parent B.H. Plaintiffs and Student R.H. Plaintiff are members of the Religious Rights Foundation of PA. Parent B.H. Plaintiffs are taxpayers in the State College Area School District and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- 9. Student R.H. Plaintiff attends a parochial school located within the State College Area School District. Parent B.H. Plaintiffs made the decision to have Student R.H. Plaintiff attend a parochial school in the furtherance of their religious beliefs. Student R.H. Plaintiff also made the decision to attend a parochial school in the furtherance of Student R.H. Plaintiff's religious beliefs.
- 10. The above referenced parents are hereinafter collectively referred to as "Parent Plaintiffs" and the above referenced students are collectively referred to as "Student Plaintiffs."
- 11. As members of the Religious Rights Foundation, Parent Plaintiffs represent the interests of their minor children, along with other parents and minor children who are members of the Religious Rights Foundation and who are eligible in all material respects to attend the State College Area School District and to participate in the extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the public school district.
- 12. In accordance with its mission, the Religious Right Foundation is acting to protect and defend its members rights as guaranteed by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America.
- 13. Defendant, State College Area School District (hereinafter "District Defendant"), is a public school district organized and existing in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with its administrative offices

located at 240 Villa Crest Drive, State College, Centre County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- 14. Defendant, Board of School Directors (hereinafter "Board Defendant"), is the governing body of the State College Area School District. 24 P.S. §3-301.
- 15. As the governing body, Board Defendant has various statutory powers and authority, including, but not limited to, the authority to promulgate rules, regulations, and policies regarding extracurricular and co-curricular activities within its schools. 24 P.S. §5-511.
- 16. Defendants are state actors within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania pursuant to the powers and authority granted public school districts and boards of school directors by the Pennsylvania Public School Code of 1949, 24 P.S. §1-101, et seq., et al.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 17. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. §1331.
- 18. Plaintiffs reside within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. Defendant is a public school district within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania.
- 19. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. §1343(a)(3)(4).

20. Venue is proper in the Middle District pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. §§1390(a), 1391(b)(2), as Defendant's conduct as set forth herein occurred within the Middle District of Pennsylvania.

MATERIAL FACTS

- 21. The preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof as if fully set forth herein.
- 22. As a public school district within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Defendants exist, function, and operate pursuant to the statutory powers, duties, and authority set forth in the Pennsylvania Public School Code of 1949, as amended. 24 P.S. §1-101, et al., et seq.
- 23. According to District Defendant's website, it has eight (8) elementary schools (grades K-5), two (2) middle schools (grades 6-8), one (1) high school (grades 9-12), and one (1) Delta Program, an alternative, democratic school with middle and high school levels for grades 6-12. *See https://www.scasd.org*
- 24. According to District Defendant's website, Board Defendant has approved and authorized more than one hundred (100) extracurricular and co-curricular opportunities, including, but not limited to, sixty-three (63) Advanced Placement (AP) and advanced courses at the high school, seventy-six (76) high school activities and clubs, twenty-six (26) high school athletic teams, and various extracurricular and co-curricular activities at the middle and elementary schools.

- 25. According to District Defendant's website, "[t]he State College Area School District is an equal opportunity education institution and will not discriminate on the basis of race, color, age, creed, religion, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, ancestry, national origin or disability in its activities, programs, or employment practices ..."
- 26. Defendant Board has a Policy of non-discrimination that reads in relevant part "[t]he State College Area School District is committed to providing to all students access to equitable educational programs and activities in a safe, positive learning environment that is free from all forms of harassment and discrimination regardless of race, color, age, creed, religion, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, ancestry, national origin, marital status, pregnancy or handicap/disability. ..." Policy No. 103 Nondiscrimination/Discriminatory Harassment School and Classroom Practices.
- 27. Defendants permit students who reside within the State College Area School District and who are enrolled in a home school program "to participate in any activity that is subject to the provisions of section [5-]511, including, but not limited to, clubs, musical ensembles, athletics and theatrical productions, …" 24 P.S. §13-1327.1, Defendant Board Policy No. 137.
- 28. Pursuant to the Public School Code, Defendants have the statutory power and authority to permit parochial school students to participate in the extracurricular

and co-curricular activities generally offered to the students of the State College Area School District, along with eligible home school and charter school students.

29. Paragraph (a) of Section 5-511 of the Public School Code states in relevant part at follows:

"The board of school directors in every school district shall prescribe, adopt, and enforce such reasonable rules and regulations as it may deem proper, regarding (1) the management, supervision, control, or prohibition of exercises, athletics, or games of any kind, school publications, debating, forensic, dramatic, musical, and other activities related to the school program, including raising and disbursing funds for any or all of such purposes and for scholarships, and (2) the organization, management, supervision, control, financing, or prohibition of organizations, clubs, societies and groups of the members of any class, or school, ..."

24 P.S. §5-511(a).

30. Paragraph (c) of Section 5-511 of the Public School Code states in relevant part as follows:

"The board of school directors may (1) permit the use of school property, real or personal, for the purpose of conducting any activity related to the school program, or by any school or class organization, club, society, or group, (2) authorize any school employe or employes to manage, supervise and control the development and conduct of any of such activities, (3) employ or assign any school employe to serve in any capacity in connection with any of such activities."

24 P.S. §5-511(c).

31. Paragraph (f) of Section 5-511 of the Public School Code states as follows:

"The board of school directors of any district is hereby authorized to appropriate any monies of the district for the payment of medical and hospital expenses incurred as a result of the participation in such athletic events or games, practice or preparation therefor, or in transportation to or from such athletic events or games, or the practice or preparation therefor, and for the purchase of accident insurance in connection with such participation and transportation."

24 P.S. §5-511(f).

- 32. Board Defendant has approved Board Policy No. 137 <u>Home School Policy</u> which states in relevant part "... Any home schooled student who would like to participate in an instructional activity or class must submit a request to the Superintendent by a date established by the administration. Any home school students who would like to participate in co-curricular or extra-curricular activities must submit a request to the Superintendent by a date established by the administration. Home school students may participate in health services, standardized testing and the use of facilities normally available to students during the school day. ..." *Defendant Board Policy No. 137*.
- 33. Home school students are not enrolled in the State College Area School District.
- 34. Home school students satisfy the educational requirements set forth in the Public School Code through a home school program.
- 35. Defendants provide home school students with a benefit, the ability to participate in extracurricular and co-curricular activities along instructional

activities and classes, that is generally available to the students enrolled in the State College Area School District.

- 36. Defendants permit students who reside within the State College Area School District and who are enrolled in charter schools to participate in its extracurricular and co-curricular activities. 24 P.S. §17-1719-A.
- 37. There are several charter schools within the State College Area School District and the students attending these charter schools who reside within the State College Area School District are eligible to participate in Defendants' extracurricular and co-curricular activities.
- 38. Charter school students are not enrolled in the State College Area School District.
- 39. Charter school students are enrolled in charter schools and satisfy the educational requirements set forth in the Public School Code through a charter school program.
- 40. Defendants provide charter school students with benefits, the ability to participate in extracurricular and co-curricular activities, that is generally available to the students enrolled in the State College Area School District.
- 41. Defendants expend a significant amount of public funds to provide extracurricular and co-curricular activities, instruction activities and classes that are generally available for the benefit of students enrolled in the State College Area

School District; home school students who are not enrolled in the State College Area School District; and charter school students who are not enrolled in the State College Area School District.

- 42. Like home school and charter school students, Student Plaintiffs are not enrolled in the State College Area School District. Student Plaintiffs are enrolled in parochial schools and satisfy the educational requirements set forth in the Public School Code through a parochial school program.
- 43. Plaintiff Religious Rights Foundation joins this Complaint to protect, defend, and promote the religious rights, beliefs, and opportunities of not only the Parent Plaintiffs and Student Plaintiffs, but also, the religious rights, beliefs, and opportunities of similarly situated members of the Religious Rights Foundation.
- 44. Parent Plaintiffs and Student Plaintiffs are members of the Religious Rights Foundation, and they are representative of the other members of the Religious Rights Foundation who are similarly situated and/or who support the Foundation's efforts to protect the religious rights of its members.
- 45. Parent Plaintiffs and Student Plaintiffs have suffered, and continue to suffer, an injury in fact, as a direct result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct as set forth herein.

46. Plaintiffs' request relief that will enjoin and prohibit Defendants' from continuing to act in a discriminatory manner toward Plaintiffs and other similarly situated individuals.

COUNT I PLAINTIFFS v. DEFENDANTS VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE - 42 U.S.C. §1983

- 47. Paragraph 1 through 46 of this Complaint are incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. Parent Plaintiffs and Student Plaintiffs are residents of the State College Area School District.
- 49. Student Plaintiffs are eligible in all material respects to attend the State College Area School District and to participate in the extracurricular and co-curricular activities the State College Area School District.
- 50. If Student Plaintiffs enrolled in the State College Area School District, Student Plaintiffs would be eligible to participate in the extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the State College Area School District.
- 51. Parent Plaintiffs have enrolled their children (Student Plaintiffs) in parochial schools to further both Parent Plaintiffs' and Student Plaintiffs' religious beliefs.

- 52. Student Plaintiffs regularly participate in religious instruction and activities at their respective parochial schools.
- 53. Parent Plaintiffs participate in religious activities, along with their children (Student Plaintiffs), at the parochial schools.
- 54. The religious instruction and activities are an integral part of the educational programs provided to the Student Plaintiffs at their parochial schools.
- 55. By attending parochial schools, Student Plaintiffs do not have the opportunity to participate in the types of extracurricular and co-curricular activities generally available to the students of the State College Area School District, home school students, and charter school students.
- 56. Parent Plaintiffs have requested that Defendants permit Student Plaintiffs to participate in extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the State College Area School District.
- 57. Defendants have denied Parent Plaintiffs requests to permit Student Plaintiffs to participate in extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the State College Area School District.
- 58. Via email dated May 24, 2023, 11:30 a.m., the Superintendent of the State College Area School District, in response to Plaintiffs' request, informed Parent C.Y. Plaintiff as follows:

"Thank you for reaching out. We have put a lot of thought into this issue through discussions with other administrators, the school board,

and our school solicitor. After carefully considering it, we cannot grant your request to change our longstanding practice of not having private school students participate on our PIAA sports teams. The reason is the district has ample, and sometimes excess, participation for our teams, so there is no need to expand. Additionally, if we allow private school students to take part, we could be taking away opportunities from SCASD students."

- 59. Defendants have historically refused to grant Student Plaintiffs and other similarly situated parochial school students the ability to participate in extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the State College Area School District.
- 60. Defendants have a "longstanding practice," custom, and policy of refusing to permit parochial school students to participate in extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the State College Area School District.
- 61. Defendants' rationale for its longstanding discriminatory practice(s) is two-fold: there are a sufficient number of State College Area School District students who participate in the activities; and, if permitted to participate, a parochial school student may take away an opportunity to participate from a State College Area School District student.
- 62. Plaintiff Religious Rights Foundation was formed and exists to help protect, defend, and promote the religious rights, beliefs, opportunities, and tenants of faith of its members, their families, and the greater faith community within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- 63. Defendants' discriminatory conduct towards Plaintiffs is exactly the type of discriminatory conduct that the Religious Right Foundation was formed to fight against.
- 64. Chief Justice Roberts of the Supreme Court of the United States recently opined, "This Court has repeatedly confirmed that denying a generally available benefit solely on account of religious identify imposed a penalty on the free exercise of religious." See Trinity Lutheran Church of Columbia, Inc. v. Comer, 582 U.S. 449, 451, 137 S.Ct. 2012, 2016, 198 L.Ed.2d 551, 553 (2017).
- 65. The basis for Defendants' refusal to grant Student Plaintiffs and other similarly situated students the ability to participate in extracurricular and co-curricular activities is Student Plaintiffs' enrollment in a parochial school.
- 66. Student Plaintiffs are enrolled in parochial schools on account of and to further their religious beliefs and faith.
- 67. Defendants are forcing Plaintiffs to choose between their religious beliefs and the generally available benefit of participation in the extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the State College Area School District.
- 68. Student Plaintiffs' status as parochial school students disqualifies them from participation in the extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the State College Area School District under the aforesaid discriminatory policies.

- 69. Chief Justice Roberts further opined, "It has remained a fundamental principal of this Court's free exercise jurisprudence that laws imposing 'special disabilities on the basis of ... religious status' triggers the strictest scrutiny." *Id.* at 552-452, citing, Church of Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. Hialeah, 508 U.S. 520, 533, 113 S.Ct. 2217, 2019-2021, 124 L.Ed.2d 472 (1993).
- 70. Defendant's custom, practice, and policy of excluding parochial school students from participation in the extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the State College Area School District is subject to the highest standard of review and cannot not survive strict scrutiny by this Honorable Court.
- 71. Defendants permit students enrolled in home school programs to participate in extracurricular and co-curricular activities while excluding parochial school student from participating in the same activities.
- 72. Defendants permit students enrolled in charter schools to participate in extracurricular and co-curricular activities while excluding parochial school student from participating in the same activities.
- 73. Chief Justice Roberts further opined, "The Free Exercise Clause 'protect[s] religious observers against unequal treatment' and subjects to the strictest scrutiny laws that target the religious for 'special disabilities' based on their 'religious status." *Id. at 458-459, citing, Church of Lukumi Barbalu Aye, supra.*

- 74. The Unites States Supreme Court has made it clear that "... denying a generally available benefit solely on account of religious identity imposes a penalty on the free exercise of religion that can be justified only by a state interest 'of the highest order." *Id.*, *citing*, *McDaniel v. Paty*, 435 U.S. 618, 628, 98 S.Ct. 1322, 55 L.Ed.2d 593 (1978) (plurality opinion) (quoting Wisconsin v. Yoder; 406 U.S. 205, 215, 92 S.Ct. 1526, 32 L.Ed.2d. 15 (1972)).
- 75. Defendants have a custom, practice, and policy of denying a generally available benefit to Plaintiffs solely on account of Plaintiffs' religious identify.
- 76. Plaintiffs have suffered harm as a direct result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct towards them and similarly situated members of the Religious Rights Foundation.

COUNT II PLAINTIFFS v. DEFENDANTS VIOLATION OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE - 42 U.S.C. §1983

- 77. Paragraph 1 through 76 of this Complaint are incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof as if fully set forth herein.
- 78. Under the Equal Protection clause, Section I of the Fourteenth Amendment, "[n]o State shall . . . deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." U.S.C.A. Const. Amend. XIV, § I; *City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center*, 473 U.S. 432 (1985).

- 79. The purpose of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment is to secure every person within a state's jurisdiction is protected against intentional and arbitrary discrimination, whether occasioned by express terms of a statute or by its improper execution through duly constituted agents. *See Village of Willowbrook v. Olech*, 528 U.S. 562, 564, 120 S. Ct. 1073 (2000).
- 80. "The Equal Protection Clause 'announces a fundamental principle: the State must govern impartially,' and 'directs that all persons similarly circumstanced shall be treated alike.' Therefore, '[g]eneral rules that apply evenhandedly to all persons within the jurisdiction unquestionably comply' with the Equal Protection Clause. Only when a state 'adopts a rule that has a special impact on less than all persons subject to its jurisdiction' does a question arise as to whether the equal protection clause is violated." *See Alexander v. Whitman,* 114 F.3d 1392, 1403, (3d Cir.1997), quoting, *New York City Transit Authority v. Beazer,* 440 U.S. 568, 587, 99 S.Ct. 1355, 1367, 59 L.Ed.2d 587 (1979); quoting, *Plyler v. Doe,* 457 U.S. 202, 216, 102 S.Ct. 2382, 2394, 72 L.Ed.2d 786 (1982), quoting, *F.S. Royster Guano Co. v. Virginia,* 253 U.S. 412, 415, 40 S.Ct. 560, 561-62, 64 L.Ed. 989 (1920).
- 81. The Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment, which has been applied to the States through the Fourteenth Amendment provides that "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or *prohibiting the free exercise thereof...*" See Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. City of Hialeah,

508 U.S. 520, 531, 113 S.Ct. 2217, 124 L.Ed.2d 472 (1993), citing, Cantwell v. Connecticut, 310 U.S. 296, 303, 60 S.Ct. 900, 903, 84 L.Ed. 1213 (1940).

- 82. "At a minimum, the protections of the Free Exercise Clause pertain if the law at issue discriminates against some or all religious beliefs or regulates or prohibits conduct because it is undertaken for religious reasons." *See Lukumi, supra* at 532, citing, *Braunfeld v. Brown*, 366 U.S. 599, 607, 81 S.Ct. 1144, 1148, 6 L.Ed.2d 563 (1961); and *Fowler v. Rhode Island*, 345 U.S. 67, 69-70, 73 S.Ct. 526, 527, 97 L.Ed. 828 (1953).
- 83. "Official action that targets religious conduct for distinctive treatment cannot be shielded by mere compliance with the requirement of facial neutrality. The Free Exercise Clause protects against governmental hostility, which is masked, as well as overt. 'The Court must survey meticulously the circumstances of governmental categories to eliminate, as it were, religious gerrymanders.'" *See Lukumi, supra* at 534, *quoting, Walz v. Tax Comm'n of New York City,* 397 U.S. 664, 696, 90 S.Ct. 1409, 1425, 25 L.Ed.2d 697 (1970).
- 84. "Nor does it make a difference that faith-based bigotry did not motivate the orders. The constitutional benchmark is 'government *neutrality*,' not 'governmental avoidance of bigotry." *See Roberts v. Neace*, 958 F.3d 409, 415 (2020), citing, *Colo. Christian Univ. v. Weaver*, 534 F.3d 1245, 1260 (10th Cir. 2008).

- 85. "A law is not neutral and generally applicable unless there is 'neutrality between religion and non-religion.' *Id.*, citing, *Hartmann v. Stone*, 68 F.3d 973, 978 (6th Cir, 1995).
- 86. "And a law can reveal a lack of neutrality by protecting secular activities more than comparable religious ones." *Id.*, citing, *Hartmann* at 979; *Midrash Sephardi, Inc. v. Town of Surfside*, 366 F.3d 1214, 1233-35, 1234 n.16 (11th Cir. 2004); *see also Shrum v. City of Coweta*, 449 F.3d 1132, 1145 (10th Cir. 2006) ("[T]he Free Exercise Clause is not confined to actions based on animus.").
- 87. Home school students are provided a benefit, participation in extracurricular and co-curricular activities along with participation in instructional activities and classes, that are generally available to the students enrolled in the State College Area School District.
- 88. Charter school students are provided a benefit, participation in extracurricular and co-curricular activities that are generally available to the students enrolled in the State College Area School District.
- 89. Defendants expend a significant amount of public funds to provide extracurricular and co-curricular activities, instruction activities and classes that are generally available for the benefit of students enrolled in the State College Area School District; home school students who are not enrolled in the State College Area School District; and charter school students who are enrolled in charter schools.

- 90. The basis for Defendants' refusal to permit Student Plaintiffs and other similarly situated students to participate in extracurricular and co-curricular activities is Student Plaintiffs' enrollment in a parochial school.
- 91. Defendant's custom, practice, and policy of excluding parochial school students from participation in the extracurricular and co-curricular activities of the State College Area School District is subject to the highest standard of review and cannot not survive strict scrutiny by this Honorable Court.
- 92. Defendants have a custom, practice, and policy of denying a generally available benefit to Plaintiffs solely on account of Plaintiffs' religious identify.
- 93. Plaintiffs have suffered harms as a direct result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct towards them and similarly situated members of the Religious Rights Foundation.
- 94. Injunctive relief is necessary to prevent continued irreparable harm to Plaintiffs that cannot be adequately compensated by damages.
- 95. The violation of a constitutional right is per se irreparable harm. "The loss of First Amendment freedoms, for even minimal periods of time unquestionably constitutes irreparable injury." See Roman Catholic Diocese of Brooklyn v. Cuomo, __ U.S. __, 141 S.Ct. 63, 67-68, 208 L.Ed.2d 206, (2020), quoting, Elrod v. Burns, 427, U.S. 347, 373, 96 S.Ct. 2673, 49 L.Ed.2d 547 (1976).
 - 96. Defendants will not be harmed if injunctive relief is granted to Plaintiffs.

- 97. Injunctive relief would restore the "status quo" under which Plaintiffs fully enjoyed the Free Exercise Rights protected by the First Amendment.
- 98. Plaintiffs' prayer for relief is clear and Plaintiffs are likely to prevail on the merits of their claims.
- 99. "It has remained a fundamental principal of this Court's free exercise jurisprudence that laws imposing 'special disabilities on the basis of ... religious status' triggers the strictest scrutiny." *Id.*, *citing*, *Church of Lukumi Babalu Aye*, *Inc.* v. *Hialeah*, 508 U.S. 520, 533, 113 S.Ct. 2217, 2019-2021, 124 L.Ed.2d 472 (1993).
- 100. Plaintiffs' prayer for relief is narrowly tailored to abate the improper conduct of Defendants and injunctive relief will not adversely impact the public interest.
- 101. Plaintiffs have satisfied each of the elements necessary for injunctive relief and injunctive relief is appropriate in this matter to prevent further violations of Plaintiffs' Constitutional rights.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiffs respectfully requests this Honorable Court grant relief as follows:

- 1. An Order finding Defendants' conduct in violation of Plaintiffs' rights as guaranteed by the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 2. An Order finding Defendants' conduct in violation of Plaintiffs' rights as guaranteed by the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

- 3. An Order enjoining Defendants' discriminatory conduct.
- 4. An Order requiring Defendants to grant Student Plaintiffs, and other similarly situated students, the right to participate in the extracurricular and co-curricular activities, including instructional activities and classes, as are generally available to the students enrolled in the State College Area School District.
- 5. An Order awarding counsel fees and costs to Plaintiffs and requiring Defendants to reimburse the same.
- 6. An Order granting any other relief deemed appropriate by the Court

Respectfully submitted,

DILLON McCANDLESS KING COULTER & GRAHAM LLP

By: /s/ Thomas E. Breth

Thomas E. Breth, Esquire PA I.D. No. 66350 tbreth@dmkcg.com
Thomas W. King, III, Esquire PA I.D. No. 21580 tking@dmkcg.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Special Counsel to the Thomas More Society

Case 4:02-at-06000 Toqquicon (604-5 Hilled 07/10/23 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
Religious Rights Foundation of PA, et al.				State College Area School District, et al.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Centre County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Centre County (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
	Address, and Telephone Number, Esq. and Thomas W			Attorneys (If Know	vn)					
	ess King Coulter & G	•								
	gham Street, Butler,									
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only		NCIPAL		Place an "X" in nd One Box for I		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)			PTF zen of This State 1		DEF	ncorporated <i>or</i> Prii of Business In Tl	ncipal Place	PTF 4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2	2 In	ncorporated and Pr of Business In Ar		5	5
				en or Subject of a 3 Foreign Nation oreign Country			6	6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FO	DEFITUDE/DENALTS			r: Nature of Supercy			
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure			BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158		375 False Claims Act		
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/	69	of Property 21 USC 88 0 Other		423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 INTELLECTUAL		376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment		
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				PROPERTY RIGHTS		410 Antitrust		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			P	820 Copyrights 830 Patent		430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce		
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product				835 Patent	- Abbreviated	460 Deportation		nced and
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability				New Drug Application 840 Trademark		470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations		
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards		880 Defend Trade Secrets		480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692)		
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		Act		Act of 2016		485 Telephone Consumer		
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	<u></u> 1 72	0 Labor/Management Relations	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)		Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV			
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act		862 Black I	Lung (923)	(923) 850 Securities/Comm		odities/
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	☐ ⁷⁵	l Family and Medical Leave Act	\vdash	863 DIWC/ 864 SSID T	/DIWW (405(g)) Fitle XVI	Excha 890 Other	_	Actions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		Other Labor Litigation		865 RSI (40	05(g))	891 Agricu		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		FEDERAL	TAX SUITS	893 Enviro 895 Freedo		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	:				(U.S. Plaintiff	Act 896 Arbitra	ntion	
H 245 F . P . 1 . 7 : 1 : 1:	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			or Defend 871 IRS—Thir			899 Admin		rocedure
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	146	IMMIGRATION	tion	26 USC 7609		Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Othe		2 Naturalization Applicat 5 Other Immigration	11011			950 Consti	tutionality	
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		Actions				State S	tatutes	
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of								
		Confinement								
1"1"	moved from 3 I	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins		sferred		6 Multidistric	1 1	Multidis Litigatio	
	te Court 1	appendic Court	теор	(spec		Strict	Transfer		Direct F	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	42 U.S.C § 1983	tute under which you ar	e filing (L	Oo not cite jurisdictional :	statutes	unless diver	rsity):			
, 1, 6,16,52 61 116 11	Brief description of ca	use: nent Free Exercise and [.]	14th Amer	ndment Equal Protectio	n					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 23	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	, Di	EMAND \$			ECK YES only i	f demanded in	n complai	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)						·			
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				_DOCKET	NUMBER			
DATE 07/40/2022		SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY C	OF RECORD						
77/10/2023 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/Thomas E. Breth								
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			MAG. JUD	GE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" II. in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. **Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code IV. that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes. V.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Middle District of Pennsylvania Religious Rights Foundation of PA, et al. Plaintiff(s) Civil Action No. State College Area School District, et al. Defendant(s) SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION To: (Defendant's name and address) State College Area School District 240 Villa Crest Drive State College, PA 16801 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Thomas E. Breth, Esquire Dillion McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP 128 West Cunningham Street Butler, PA 16001 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. CLERK OF COURT Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)						
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)					
			on (date)					
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)							
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or \[\square \text{I served the summons on (name of individual)} \]							
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh	alf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the summ	I returned the summons unexecuted because						
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	n is true.					
Date:								
Dute.			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					
			Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Date:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Middle District of Pennsylvania Religious Rights Foundation of PA, et al. *Plaintiff(s)* Civil Action No. State College Area School District, et al. Defendant(s) SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION Board of School Directors of the State College Area School District To: (Defendant's name and address) 240 Villa Crest Drive State College, PA 16801 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Thomas E. Breth, Esquire Dillion McCandless King Coulter & Graham, LLP 128 West Cunningham Street Butler, PA 16001 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na	me of individual and title, if any	·)					
was red	ceived by me on (date)		·					
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the indiv	vidual at (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's resider	nce or usual place of abode with (name)					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides th							
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summ	ons on (name of individual)		, who	is			
	designated by law to	accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because		; 0	r			
	☐ Other (specify):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total	of \$0.00				
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this infor	mation is true.					
Date:								
			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title		=			
			Server's address		_			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: